

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/779,442	LEE, HO-KEUNG	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 30

(1) Redentor M. Pasia. (3) _____.
 (2) Eamon J. Wall (Reg. 39,414). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2010

Time: 01:30 PM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aung S. Moe/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2474
 /Redentor M Pasia/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2474

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney regarding possible amendments that can be made to the claims in order to satisfy the conditions for allowance. Applicant's Attorney informed the Examiner that he or another representative will contact the Examiner at a later time during the day to discuss the application. Since the Examiner was not able to get a hold of the Attorney, the Examiner sent out an Action for the case.